

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,414	02/11/2004	Jonathan Fanger	101896-0239	2408	
21125	7590 12/07/2005	•	EXAMINER		
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			AMARELD JR, ROBERT W		
	ADE CENTER WEST T BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	A 02210-2604		3738		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/776,414	FANGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert W. Amareld, Jr.	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2004.	İ			
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this ar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) 1-73 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
_	tion is objected to by the Evamine	ar				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—		kammer. Note the attached office	7.61.611.6111.7.7.6.162.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Notice of Draftsperso 		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34, 35-43,55-60, 65-73 drawn to a spinal guide device, classified in class 606, subclass 96.
- II. Claims 44-54, 61-64 drawn to a kit with guide and plate, classified in class 606, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because subcombination requires the particulars of an arch shaped support member (claim 56), a trigger mechanism (claim 59) or a concave groove/surface (claims 60, 69), etc. that are not required by the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used to guide a drill or a nail into a piece of wood used to build a house and does not necessarily require the particulars of a spinal fixation element to be useful.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,414 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions:

Guide Member

- A. Guide member with two distinct bores
 - a. Figure 1
- B. Guide member with conjoined bores
 - a. Figure 5B

Guide Device Handle

- A. Stationary elongate shaft
 - a. Figure 3
- B. Elongate shaft with trigger mechanism
 - a. Figure 8
- C. Dual adjustable elongate shafts with loop handle
 - a. Figure 9A
- D. Elongate shaft with sliding support member
 - a. Figure 10A

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Applicant is advised to select one species from each of the Guide Member and Guide Device Handle and to elect the related claims.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/776,414 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Amareld, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-6170. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am -5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W Amareld, Jr.

whalf,

Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE MCDERMOTI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

RWA